

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,145	03/29/2004	Dae-sik Kim	Q74902	1116
23373 7	590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE N	•	BLACKMAN, ROCHELLE ANN J		
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	'LVANIA AVENUE, N	I.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20037		2851	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annli	ection No.	Applicant(s)	<i>\M</i>				
Office Action Summary		Appii	cation No.						
		10/81	1,145 	KIM ET AL.					
		Exam	iner	Art Unit					
			elle Blackman	2851					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comminate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ead patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a unication. of days, a reply within that the tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a rep e statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONT e application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this or NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 29 March 20	004.	,					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition t	or allowance exc	ept for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		,						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			,	•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			,					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	on requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner	. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			,					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ⁻ nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/25/04</u> .			/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC _·) -152)				
O. D			·						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 13, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lambert (U.S. Patent No. 6,288,815).

Regarding claim 1, Lambert discloses a projection system (see FIGS. 1-9), comprising: a light source (see 16 and *WHITE LIGHT* in FIG. 3); a color scanner including a scrolling unit (see 20 of FIG. 3, 30 of FIG. 7A, 32 of FIG. 7B, and 40 of FIG. 7C in FIG. 3) and a driving source (although not shown, the "projection system" is considered to have some sort of motor, solenoid, or actuator that rotates the "scrolling unit") for rotating the scrolling unit so that a plurality of color bars are scrolled, wherein the scrolling unit includes at least one lens cell (see 24, 25 of FIG. 3; 31 of FIG. 7A; 33 of FIG. 7B; 41 of FIG. 7C; and 42, 43 of FIG, 7D) and converts a rotation of the at least one lens cell into a rectilinear motion of a lens array of the scrolling unit through which light passes; a light valve (see 17 of FIG. 3 and *single light valve* in col. 4, lines 15-17, output 17 is considered to be directed to a light valve of some sort), which electrically scans the plurality of color bars according to an input image signal; and a control circuit (although not shown, the "projection system" is considered to have some sort of

Art Unit: 2851

controller or control circuit that controls the scan function of the "scrolling unit" to create the output 17 which is direct to the light valve), which renders optical scanning of at least one of a plurality of color bars in phase with electrical scanning of the light valve by an image signal, wherein the color bars are formed on the light valve due to a rotation of the scrolling unit.

Regarding claim 2, Lambert discloses a color separator (see *DICHROIC CUBE* in FIG. 3) which separates light from said light source according to color.

Regarding claim 3, Lambert discloses wherein the control circuit comprises a driving source controller (although not shown, the control circuit is considered to have some sort or controller that controls the motor, solenoid, or actuator that rotates "scrolling unit") which controls the driving source so that the optical scanning of the at least one color bar is in phase with the electrical scanning by changing a rotation of the driving source according to a phase offset value which represents a phase difference between the optical scanning and the electrical scanning (see col. 9, lines 48-56).

Regarding claim 4, Lambert discloses wherein: the control circuit further comprises a reference phase generator (see col. 9, lines 48-56 – the "control circuit" is consider to have some sort of "reference phase generator" because a phase precorrection obtained) which generates a reference phase signal and provides the reference phase signal to the light valve; and the electrical scanning of the light valve is performed based on the reference phase signal, and the phase offset value is determined based on the reference phase signal (see col. 12, lines 28-41 - "electrical").

Art Unit: 2851

scanning", and "phase offset value" are considered to be performed and computed when executing the given formula).

Regarding claim 5, Lambert discloses wherein the phase offset value is determined by the steps of: providing an electrical scanning including image information for all colors to the light valve based on the reference phase signal; scanning the plurality of color bars on the light valve based on the reference phase signal; and adjusting phases of scanning of the color bars on the light valve until a bar of a specific color bar is modulated by only image information corresponding to the specific color (see col. 12, lines 28-41 – the steps are considered be performed when executing the given formula).

Regarding claim 6-8, Lambert discloses wherein the phase offset value is stored in a non-volatile memory accessible by the controller (although not shown, the phase offset value would have to be stored in a "non-volatile memory" in order to retain the values used in the formula in col. 12, lines 28-41).

Regarding claim 9, Lambert discloses wherein: the scrolling unit has a plurality of lens cells spirally formed thereon (see 33 of FIG. 7B; and 42, 43 of FIG. 7D).

Regarding claim 10, Lambert discloses wherein the at least one lens cell of the scrolling unit is a cylindrical lens (see 24, 25 of FIG. 3; 31 of FIG. 7A; 33 of FIG. 7B; 41 of FIG. 7C; and 42, 43 of FIG, 7D).

Regarding claim 11, Lambert discloses wherein the scrolling unit is a disk (see 32 of FIG. 7B).

Regarding claim 13, Lambert discloses wherein the plurality of color bars are scrolled by a rotation of the scrolling unit by the driving source such that when the scrolling unit rotates, a lens array of lens cells through which light passes appears to move rectilinearly in a direction toward or away from a rotation center of the scrolling unit (see 32 of FIG. 7B, when 32 is rotated, lens cell 33 will "appear to move rectilinearly in a direction toward or away from a rotation center of the scrolling unit 32).

Regarding claim 17, Lambert discloses a plurality of cylindrical lenses (see 22 and 23 of FIG. 3), disposed respectively in front of and behind the scrolling unit so as to adjust the width of a light beam incident upon the scrolling unit.

Regarding claim 18, Lambert discloses a color separator (see *DICHROIC CUBE* in FIG. 3) which separates a light beam emitted from the light source into a plurality of color beams by selectively reflecting the light beam according to wavelength.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert (U.S. Patent No. 6,288,815) in view of Bierhuzen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,839,095).

Art Unit: 2851

Lambert discloses the claimed invention except for "first and second fly-eye lens arrays sequentially disposed on a light path between the scrolling unit and the light valve and which each comprising a plurality of lens cells which correspond one-to-one with the lens cells of the scrolling unit; and a relay lens which is installed between the second fly-eye lens and the light valve and which transmits light beams passed through the second fly-eye lens so that light beams of different colors are focused on different locations of the light valve."

Bierhuzen discloses first and second fly-eye lens arrays (see 120 and 122 of FIGURE 7) sequentially disposed on a light path between the scrolling unit and the light valve and which each comprising a plurality of lens cells which correspond one-to-one with the lens cells of the scrolling unit; and a relay lens (see 128 of FIGURE 7) which is installed between the second fly-eye lens and the light valve and which transmits light beams passed through the second fly-eye lens so that light beams of different colors are focused on different locations of the light valve.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the "projection system" of the Lambert reference with first and second fly-eye lens arrays and a relay lens, as taught by Bierhuzen in order to improve light collection efficiency from the light source (see col. 11, lines 13-15).

2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert (U.S. Patent No. 6,288,815) in view of Dewald (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0135862).

Art Unit: 2851

Lambert discloses the claimed invention including discloses a scrolling unit comprising 2 spiral lens cells (see 33 of FIG. 7B and 42, 43 of FIG. 7D).

Lambert does not appear to disclose the scrolling unit having "at least 4" spiral lens cells.

Dewald teaches providing at least 4 spiral segments (see 502-508 of FIG. 5) of a color wheel that correspond to a light valve or spatial light modulator (see 500 of FIG. 5).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the scrolling unit of the Lambert reference with 4 spiral lens, in order to allow efficient use of a light valve having row addressed modulators (see pg. 2-3, paragraph [0029]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rochelle Blackman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2113. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2851

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RB

JUDY NGUYEN

OUDEDVISORY PATENT EYAMINER